


2005-2006 Draft Grant Evaluation Process Overview

Step 1:

Each OHMVR Commissioner outlines and discusses their individual priorities for funding levels for Conservation and Enforcement Services Account (CESA) and Non-CESA funding categories 2005–2006 Grant Cycle.

OHMVR Commissioners

Harold Thomas	Michael Prizmich	John Brissenden	Chair Paul Spitler	Ed Waldheim	Judith Anderson	Robert Chavez
---------------	------------------	-----------------	--------------------	-------------	-----------------	---------------



The table below contains examples of activities and grant application types that can be funded with CESA and Non-Cesa funds.

<u>Examples of Activities</u>	<u>Examples of Grant Application Types</u>
Patrol of Wilderness Boundary Law Enforcement Signing Restoration of Meadows Installation of Rolling Dips on Trails Amend Forest Plan Amend Resource Management Plan Maps Educational Brochures Purchase of GPS Units for Law Enforcement A High Number of Public Contacts Educational Outreach on Responsible Use of OHVs	Restoration Law Enforcement Trail Maintenance, Trail Conservation, Trail Reroutes Resource Management Studies Planning Equipment Facilities, Operations & Maintenance Acquisition Development Safety and Education

Step 2:

The public provides comment to the OHMVR Commission on the funding levels identified for Conservation, Enforcement, Restoration and Non-CESA in Step 1.

Step 3:

The OHMVR Commission votes and adopts Conservation, Enforcement, Restoration and Non-CESA funding levels for the 2005–2006 Grant Cycle.

Step 4:

The OHMVR Commission develops grant evaluation criteria based on the priorities established in Step 3 (See example sheets for Draft Grant Application Evaluation and Scoring Criteria).

Step 5:

The OHMVR Commission develops a total point score for grant applications (regardless of grant type) and a point score for each evaluation criteria that equal the total points possible for a grant application. (See example sheets for Draft Grant Application Evaluation and Scoring Criteria).

Step 6:

The public provides input to the OHMVR Commission on:

- **The grant evaluation criteria developed in Step 4;**
- **The number of points possible per criteria; and**
- **The total points possible for a grant developed in Step 5.**

Step 7:

The OHMVR Commission votes and adopts grant evaluation criteria and point scoring system.

Note:

- **The evaluation of grant applications will be performed by a panel of at least 5 people appointed by the Deputy Director of the OHMVR Division in collaboration with the Chair of the OHMVR Commission.**
- **Final funding allocations will be determined at the proposed September 2005 and October 2005 OHMVR Commission meetings.**